

ISSN No. 2394-3971

Original Research Article

IMPACT OF QUIZ IN TEACHING HOSPITAL WASTE MANAGEMENT TO UNDER GRADUATE MEDICAL STUDENTS

Dr K. Devi

Associate Professor, Department of Community medicine, Indira Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute

Submitted on: August 2016 Accepted on: August 2016 For Correspondence Email ID: devi kiru@rediffmed.com

Abstract:

Research question: Whether Quiz method can serve as an alternative and innovative method to teach "Hospital Waste Mangement" for undergratuate medical students. **Methods:** Descriptive study was undertaken among 30 MBBS students in the Department of Commuity medicine, MAPIMS. A series of 4 session by quiz method was conducted to teach ""Hospital Waste Mangement". There were 3 teams in which 4 students were selected as participants. Pretest and post test was conducted to evaluate their performance and in addition feedback was elicited. **Results:** The performance of the students in quiz was encouraging with winner team scoring 90%. The average score in pretest and posttest was 47.14% and 90.71% respectively. The students appreciated the method as it was different from routine lectures, innovative, interesting, interactive, informative, helped them to come prepared and scope for participation. They suggested to have more quiz sessions for other topis as well. **Conclusion:** Quiz method was successfully used to teach "Hospital Waste Mangement" to under gratuate medical students.

Keywords: Quiz method, hospital waste management, community medicine, undergratuate medical students, Pretest, postest.

Introduction:

Learning is a complicated phenomenon as it involves complex mental activities such as critical thinking and ability to solve problems. The goal for the learning methodology personnel is to provide the developers with the best learning tools available, so that they in turn can have thorough understanding, knowledge and relevant skills for their career. The term "Best Evidence Medical Education" was coined to describe the implementation of methods and approaches to education based on the best available evidence.¹

According to The Medical Council of India, under "Regulations on Medical Education, 1997, there is scope for trying innovative approaches. They had also observed that lectures alone are not generally adequate as a method of training and it is a poor method of transfering or acquiring information even less effective at skill deveolpment and in generating the approprite attitutes. It recommends that every efforts should be made to encourage the use of active methods of teaching.⁶

Community Medicine is taught across seven semesters in most of the MCI regulated Medical institutions, it is often seen that students start reading the subject only towards final year. To make the subject interesting, it is important to try out innovative methods for teaching and learning in which Quiz ^{2,3} is one the various methods 4,5 described in the literature. In line with this there is scope for trying innovative approaches like quizzes especially where the intake of students is 150 and staff- student ratio is good. Hence the topic on "Hospital Waste Mangement" was taught using a series of four one hour quizzes conducetd in the existing time table of lectures. Though quizzes are conducted to hold competitions among teams. some modifications were made to serve the elements of indepthness in the topic and concurrent evaluation of all studenst in the class. Assessment is an educational tool that serves multiple roles; for example, it can provide feedback to learners on areas of strength or weakness and it can provide the teacher insight into the effectiveness of a given approach.⁷

The objective was 1) to increase the awareness and interest in the topic. 2) To increases their knowledge in the applied aspects of "Hospital Waste Mangement" and 3) to enhane students participation in acquiring knowledge.

Materials and methods:

The quiz competion was conducted for MBBS students studying IIIrd semester during the month of April, 2014 in the Department of Community Medicine, Melmaruvathur Adhi Parasakthi Institute Of Medical Sciences And Research (MAPIMS) as an alternative to the usual lecture method. The topic was "Hospital Waste Mangement". The topic and schedule of the quizzes was announced two weeks in advance. They were also instructed to gain extra knowledge regarding the topic from the internet and other available sources.

Totally, there were 30 students. The whole batch was divided into three. 4 students in each group was selected as quizzers. If someone was absent on the day of quiz, other student from respective groups was chosen as quizzers. In any particular session students of each groups other than the guizzers formed the audience. The question not answered by a particular team would not be passed to the next team, instead it would be asked to the audience from the same group, failing which Quiz master will answer. This process ensured equal chances for every student to participate. There are no negative marks for wrong answers. The decision of the Quiz master will be final in deciding the correct answer and awarding marks. Cumulative scores of all the rounds would be taken to decide the winning team. At the end of Quiz a suitable prize was given to the winning team and audience if the question was passed.

There were four sessions:

- MCQs
- Visual round
- Short answers
- Rapid fire round

Session I had 6 rounds. Session II and III had 2 round. Session four had only one round. The details of the contents of each round, time allotment, maximum marks for each round and one example of each is given in Table 1.

To evaluate the students performance pretest and post test evaluation was conducted for all the students. A set of ten multiple choice questions was administered for evaluation of all the students using a over head projector for the pretest and the same questions was repeated in post test. Each question carried 5 marks and time allotted was 5 minutes and the maximum marks was 50. The performance of the indivigual students was assessed by the cumulative total of answers obtained in pretest and post test.

Feedback was also elicited by asking questions like:

- 1) What are the factors which facilitated learning?
- 2) What are the factors which hindered learning
- 3) Which round was liked the most?
- 4) Suggestions or comments to improve the sessions.

Data analysis:

Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package using Microsoft Excel. Proportion, mean and standard deviation was determined as appropriate.

Results:

Out of 30 students enrolled in the batch, all the students attended the Quiz. Hence the attendance rate was 100%.

Table 1 gives the content, time allotment and marks alloted in each round.

Table	1:	Details	of	contents	of	each	round,	time	allotment,	maximum	marks	and
examp	les:											

CATEGORY	TIME	MARKS	EXAMPLES
Session I MCQ round	30 sec for each question	6 rounds with 5 marks each (Max marks = 30)	 Which of the following is not included in biomedical waste management and handling rule? a) Human anatomical waste b) Animal waste c) Liquid waste from laboratory and washing d) Trace of volatile anaesthetic with expired gases
Session II Visual round	1 min for each question	2 rounds with 10 marks each (Max marks = 20)	Identify and answer to the question below? *Colour coded bags was visually displayed 1) What are the type of disposal in these colour coded containers?
Session III Short-answer round	1 min for each question	2 round with 10 marks each (Max marks = 20)	Define "Bio-medical waste"?
Session IV Rapid fire round	1 min for all questions	1 round with 3 questions each carrying 10 marks (Max marks = 30)	 Biomedical waste management and handling rule were notified by Ministry of environment and forest in the year? What is the colour coding of bag in hospitals to dispose of human anatomical wastes? Incineration is high temperature reduction process? True/False

Medico Research Chronicles, 2016

Table 2 gives the winning team and theirscore.The overall performance was

encouraging. The winning team (Team A) scored 90%.

Session	Round	Team A	Team B	Team C
Session I	Round I	5	5	5
	Round II	5	0	5
	Round III	5	0	0
	Round IV	5	5	5
	Round V	5	5	5
	Round VI	5	5	5
Session II	Round I	10	10	10
	Round II	10	0	10
Session III	Round I	5	0	5
	Round II	5	10	10
Session IV	Round I	30	20	20
Т	otal	90	60	80

Table 2: Winning teams with their performances:

Table 3 gives the evaluation of students by pretest and posttest. In Pretest, majority of the students 104 (73%) scored less than 40%. Another 34 students (24%) had scores between 41 - 60%. In the post

test evaluation, 132 (93%) obtained 81 -100% and the rest 10 (7%) between 61 -80%. None of the students scored less than 60% in post test evaluation.

Method	Group	Mean	Ν	Std. Deviation	t- value	t- test (Sig)
Quiz	Pretest scores	47.14	28	14.105		0.000*
method	Posttest scores	90.71	28	10.862	-13.358	

Table 3. Mean score of pre-test and post-test by Quiz method:

*p value of <0.05 is significant

Table 4 gives the summary of feedback from the students regarding the Quiz method. The responses were encouraging. They faciliated learning as they ensured active participation of the students, interactive. interesting. inovative. informative, clear, different from routine classes, helped them to come prepared, more learning, more analytical thinking, scope for active participation, building healthy competition, strong mode of teaching, more effective, entertaing, friendly, enjoyable, wish every class has the same tempo, evaluation. The factors

which hindered learning was that Some questions were very easy, round required reference from outside (internet). Suggestions for improvemnet is to that such programes should be conducted often, they also suggested to have more round in each session especially in visual and MCQs round. Almost 18(59%) of students liked the visual round the most. 11(36%) of students liked the MCQ round and the remaining 1 student prefered the Rapid fire round. None of student had prefered the short answer round.

Feedback	Responses			
What factors facilitated	Interesting, interactive, inovative, informative, clear,			
learning?	different from routine classes, helped them to come			
	prepared, more learning, more analytical thinking,			
	scope for active participation, building healthy			
	competition, strong mode of teaching, more effective,			
	entertaing, friendly, enjoyable, wish every class has			
	the same tempo, evaluation.			
What factors hindered learning?	Some questions were very easy, round required			
	reference from outside (internet)			
Which round was liked the	Visual round, MCQs, Rapid fire round and short			
most?	answer round in decreasing order			
Suggestions for improvement?	Should be conducted often, they also suggested to			
	have more round in each session especially in visual			
	and MCQs round.			

Table 4: Details of feedback given by the students

DISCUSSION:

The concept of 'active learning' is gaining much momentum, especially in the field of Medicine. Lectures alone are not generally adequate as a method of training and are poor means of transferring and acquiring information, even less effective at skill development appropriate and in generating the attitudes. It is recommended that every effort should be made to encourage the use of active methods related to demonstration and on firsthand experience. Medical teachers stretch their extent of information and knowledge in a logical, planned, integrated and sequential manner to the students through different approaches.⁸ New methods like Problembased learning, Quiz to name a few, are being introduced, based on the above said concept. It is already reported that group discussions have been employed successfully to teach majority of the topics in Community Medicine in the preclinical years.⁹ Small student research projects have been used as a tool to teach epidemiology¹⁰. There are very few reports of using quiz as a method of teaching/ learning for Undergratuate medical students

The subject of community medicine is taught from Ist MBBS to Preclinical year almost throughout the MBBS career. The students start learning the subject only towards the Prefinal year. Hence to incultate interest in the subject the present study was undertaken to effectively use to teach community quiz method medicine. In the present study, we wanted to investigate the role of quiz as a learning Tool in Medical education and to find out whether quiz can serve as an active learning method to undergraduate medical students. Through this method we wanted to increase the awareness and interest in the topic. By this method the students had an opportunity to gain deep insight in the topic by referring other sources like internet for preparation. It also enhanced their capacity to apply their knowledge critically especially in the visual round. Moreover it also helped them in building a healthy competitive spirit among the teams as well as to evaluate each student by MCQs after the quiz. This approach ensured greater participation of the students in teaching/ learning process. In a study from Michigan, USA, interactive video disc units were used for teaching pathology

laboratory cases. Each of these units had case studies followed by a quiz. These extremely valuable units were supplemental tools for the students.¹¹ Case based learning (CBL) with clinical problems as a source of stimulus concluded to be an effective tool by Jamkar and associates.¹² In another studyfrom Australia, case study was used as a novel teaching/ learning format. The learning experience consisted of a quiz followed by a class discussion. This format was beneficial both to the students and the teacher. It also served as an additional option for teaching/ learning methods acceptable to students.¹³ Finley et al used quiz format for learning about auscultation of heart sounds through based independentlearning, computer which was compared with classroom teaching. Both CD-ROM and class room teaching methods were highly rated by the students.¹⁴

To evaluate the student/'s learning, new teaching strategies should be scientifically investigated through questionnaire, student\'s comments and assessment outcome.¹⁵ evaluation of Once the deficiencies of teaching curriculum are identified, reinforcement can be applied by various methods which is the principle of value-added adult learning.

Limitations:

Most important problem was that it was very much time consuming. Much of the time was devoted mainly for framing the questions in quiz. Hence this method cannot be organized frequently. Equality of opportunity is less as all the students cannot act as quizers.

References:

- Best Evidence Medical Education (BEME). Report of Meeting: 3-5 December 1999, London, UK. *Med Teach* 2000; 22: 242-5.
- 2. Rotti SB, Dutta S, Danabalan M, et al. Use of a quiz as a method to teach nutrition and health. *Med Teach*. 2001;23(5):519.

- Rotti SB, Sudhir B, Danabalan M. Quiz as a Method to Teach Family Welfare and Demography to Medical Undergraduate Students. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*. 2004;29(3):121-22.
- 4. Dongre AR, Deshmukh PR, Garg BS. Transect walk as a public health teaching-learning tool. *Medical Education*. 2009;43:1081-117.
- 5. Dongre AR, Deshmukh PR, Garg BS. Portfolio based approach for teaching Community Medicine among medical undergraduates and assessment of their learning in a Medical college of rural India. *South East Asian J of Medical Education*. 2010;4(1):17-24.
- 6. Regulations on graduate medical education New Delhi, Medical Council of India, 1997.
- Susan P, Doug W. A comparison of assessment practices and their effects on learning and motivation in a student-centered learning environment. J Educ Multimed Hypermed. 2004; 13:283-307.
- 8. Bhuiyan PS, Rege NN, Supe AN. The art of teaching medical students (second edition). Medical Education Technology Cell, Seth G.S. Medical College and K.E.M. Hospital, Mumbai. 305-12.
- Soudarssanane 9. Rotti SB. MB. Srinivasa DK, et al. A new approach preclinical training medical in undergraduates in Community Medicine. Medical Teacher. 1992;14(3):79-81.
- 10. Soudarsannane MB, Rotti SB, Roy G, et al. Research as a tool to teach epidemiology. *World Health Forum* .1994;15:48-50.
- 11. Kumar K, Khilnany M. Innovative interactive video disc units for teaching of pathology laboratory cases. Five years' experience. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*. 1994; 101:665-70.
- 12. Jamkar AV, Burdick W, Morahan P, et al. Proposed model of case based

learning for training undergraduate medical students in surgery. *Indian J Surg.* 2007;69:176-83.

- Jamison JR. Innovation in educations:

 a case study of a novel teaching/learning format. Journal of Manipulative & Physiological Therapeutics. 1996;19:92-8.
- 14. Finley JP, Sharrati GP, Nanton, et al. Ausucltation of the Heart : A trial of

classroom teaching versus computerbased independent learning. *Medical Education*. 1998;32:357-61

 Zhijie Y. Implementation of teaching strategies in a medical physiology curriculum: A shift to students centred learning. *The China Papers*. 2003:74-7.