

Non-Compliant Class III Malocclusion – A Novel Treatment Approach

Dr. Vaishnavi Maniyar¹, Dr. Ravindra Manerikar², Dr. Nandlal Toshniwal³, Dr. Shubhangi Mani⁴, Dr. Twinkle Talreja⁵, Trishala Kunkulol⁶

1. BDS, MDS (perusing), PG Resident, Department of Orthodontics & Dento-facial Orthopaedics, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni-413736, Maharashtra

2. BDS, MDS, Principal, Rural Dental College, Department of Orthodontics & Dento-facial, Orthopaedics, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni-413736, Maharashtra

3. BDS, MDS, Professor & Head, Department of Orthodontics & Dento-facial Orthopaedics, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni-413736, Maharashtra

4. BDS, MDS, Professor, Department of Orthodontics & Dento-facial Orthopaedics, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni-413736, Maharashtra

5. BDS, MDS (Perusing), PG resident, Dept of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, DY Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, Pune

6. BDS, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni-413736, Maharashtra

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE
Article History Received: March 2023 Accepted: June 2023 Key Words: Dental crossbite, Orthodontic emergency, Interceptive orthodontics, Class III malocclusion, Fixed penta-helix appliance, Transverse maxillary expansion *Corresponding author Dr. Vaishnavi Maniyar	of these at the earliest the malocclusions. orthodontics. 1 Unfa malocclusion patients worse over time if spurts. It has been ob can be performed with this normalization w increasing antero-pos variety of intercepti ability to develop a n patients can be easily novel method of ac dentition phase with a	e said to be an orthodontic emergency. Correction is required to prevent full-fledged development of Therefore, arises the need of interceptive worable growth traits are present in Class III . One might anticipate their malocclusion to get no treatment is given during their development served that complete dental Class III adjustments a relatively early orthodontic intervention, and that will hold over further growth. Young patients' terior (AP) disparity has been addressed with a we orthodontic treatments and appliances. The nore balanced craniofacial relationship in Class III aided by early therapy. This article describes a dressing Class III malocclusion in the mixed a fixed penta-helix appliance to achieve transverse as well as correct anterior dental crossbite.
	1	2023, www.medrech.com

INTRODUCTION:

Class III malocclusions are still difficult to treat non-surgically in orthodontic field. To lessen the burden of severe Class III malocclusion in late adolescence, rapid diagnosis and early care of the condition is found to be useful. The diagnosis and treatment planning in early mixed dentition is just as demanding and important as it is in comprehensive treatment. Specific treatment goals and appropriate endpoints are to be well defined before starting the treatment so that the patient's compliance is not exhausted at the time of comprehensive treatment.

The most difficult to treat Class III malocclusion is that due to the genetic potential of the individual which causes the overgrowth of the mandible leading to a more mesial position of mandible with respect to the maxilla. Class III malocclusion has been categorized by several writers using a variety **Pre-treatment intra-oral photographs** of criteria. Based on the relationship between the permanent first maxillary and mandibular molars and the position of the teeth with respect to the line of occlusion, Edward Hartley Angle categorized malocclusions in 1899 as Class I, Class II, and Class III. Class III malocclusions were divided into two categories by Charles Henry Tweed: pseudo Class III malocclusions (category A), which had a normal mandible and an underdeveloped maxilla, and skeletal Class III malocclusions (category B), which had either a prognathic mandible or an underdeveloped maxilla.



CLINICAL FINDINGS AND DIAGNOSIS:

A 9-year-old girl reported to the department of Orthodontics and Dento-facial Orthopaedics with a chief complaint of malaligned teeth. The patient reported no abnormal medical history. She had not undergone any dental treatment before this visit. Her mother and elder sister depicted a concave profile with established anterior dental crossbite.

On extra-oral examination, patient had a symmetrical face with straight facial profile and competent lips at rest. On smiling, both maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth were visible, but the smile arc couldn't be assessed due to the crossbite with 21. No abnormal oral habits were reported. Intra-oral examination revealed a mixed dentition with a class I molar relation bilaterally as well as class I deciduous canine relation bilaterally. Anterior dental crossbite with 21 and posterior dental crossbites on the left side with 63, 64, 65 and 26 were seen.

On clinical functional analysis, the patient showed an edge to edge incisor relationship in centric relation.

Panoramic radiograph depicts an early mixed dentition stage. A difference in the radiographic length of 11 and 21 can be seen suggesting a difference in their axial inclinations. No other gross abnormality was noticed.



Lateral cephalometric analysis revealed a Skeletal Class III jaw bases relationship with a retrognathic maxilla and mandible according to Steiner's analysis. The upper incisors were proclined while the lower incisors showed optimum angulations. The patient had an average growth pattern.



TREATMENT PLAN

Initially, the patient was started with a removable appliance therapy which consisted of a posterior bite plane with a Z spring on 21 for correction of the crossbite. With future appointments, it was observed that the patient

was not compliant with the given treatment. Hence, she was shifted to a fixed appliance which consisted of five helices on the palate designed to treat transversely as well as antero-posteriorly at the same time.

The penta-helix appliance





TREATMENT PROGRESS

Tangible results were achieved in 3 months of treatment. Following this a 3

months of retention protocol was observed for the expansion achieved



POST-TREATMENT INTRA-ORAL PHOTOGRAPHS

DISCUSSION

Young patients should have an orthodontic evaluation at age of 6 or 7 years, according to the American Dental Association (ADA) and the American Association of Orthodontists (AAO).^{1,2} Early orthodontic examinations give practitioners the chance to identify existing or potential issues with their patients' occlusion and jaw growth. Patients in Class III malocclusion can benefit the most from this, in particular.

The doctor can offer interceptive orthodontic treatment to direct appropriate craniofacial development if he detects orthodontic problems including Class III, posterior crossbite, open bite, deep bite, and oral habits at an early age. The clinician may find it easy to provide this early instruction, which helps improve anatomical factors for the eruption of permanent teeth. Then, if needed, permanent teeth can be straightened complete orthodontics. The via best opportunity for a full correction for many patients with Class III malocclusion is this mixed dentition kind of treatment.

Because of their unfavourable growth features, Class III patients are typically acknowledged by orthodontists to be among the most challenging to treat. Numerous studies have demonstrated that Class III malocclusions deteriorate for people during critical growth phases in childhood and adolescence. The maxillomandibular discrepancy of untreated Class III patients increased in disparity in the subjects between the ages of 6 and 16 in the Wolfe et al^3 trials. When compared to their Class I counterparts, Class Ш individuals exhibit greater anteroposterior (AP) growth excesses, larger, more protruding mandibles, and smaller maxillae. In the study, Class III subjects had a big maxillomandibular difference and a modest Wits differential, which got worse as the subjects developed.

The differential horizontal growth (DHG) of 265 untreated developing patients

from the Burlington and Bolton Brush untreated collections was the subject of substantial growth research by Donald B. McGann. He discovered the DHG and Wits angles and norms of various case kinds. Based on his DHG study, orthodontists can predict that if the mandible is not treated and is not restrained with correct occlusion, it will grow by 1.2 to 1.5 millimetres for every millimetre the maxilla grows.

Jorge Rodríguez de Guzmán-Barrera et al (2017) 4 conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis and concluded that although skeletal anchorage may be shown to be a successful treatment for skeletal Class III malocclusion, there isn't any conclusive proof that it performs better than other conventional treatments like disjunction and face mask.

CONCLUSION

Skeletal class III malocclusions if diagnosed and intercepted at appropriate age significantly reduce the severity and length of comprehensive orthodontics at a later stage. The penta-helix appliance described above was efficient enough in correcting the goals of the interceptive orthodontics.

REFERENCES

- Zere E, Chaudhari PK, Sharan J, [1] Dhingra K, Tiwari N. Developing Class malocclusions: challenges Ш and solutions. Clin Cosmet Investig Dent. 2018 Jun 22: 10:99-116. doi: 10.2147/CCIDE.S134303. PMID: 29950903; PMCID: PMC6016584.
- [2] Ngan, P., & Moon, W. (2015). Evolution of Class III treatment in orthodontics. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, *148(1)*, *22–36*. doi:10.1016/j.ajodo.2015.04.012
- [3] The Right Time for an Orthodontic Check-Up: No Later than Age 7. American Association of Orthodontists. https://aaoinfo.org/system/files/media/do cuments/Right_Time_for_Ortho-MLMS-hl.pdf. Accessed June 6, 2016.

- [4] When should I take my child to see an orthodontist? Sharecare.com. https://www.sharecare.com/health/ortho dontic-braces/when-take-child-toorthodontist. Accessed June 7, 2016.
- [5] Wolfe SM, Araujo E, Behrents RG, Buschang PH. Craniofacial growth of Class III subjects six to sixteen years of age. Angle Orthodontist. 2011; Vol 81 No 2
- [6] Rodríguez de Guzmán-Barrera J, Sáez Martínez C, Boronat-Catalá M, Montiel-

Company JM, Paredes-Gallardo V, Gandía-Franco JL, Almerich-Silla JM, Bellot-Arcís C. Effectiveness of interceptive treatment of class III malocclusions with skeletal anchorage: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2017 Mar 22;12(3): e0173875. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0173875. PMID: 28328995; PMCID: PMC5362089